



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,450	01/19/2001	Colin Collins	023071111800	8675

20350 7590 09/11/2003

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

CLOW, LORI A

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/766,450	COLLINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.	1631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23,25-35,37,38 and 40-61 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-23,25-35,37,38 and 40-61 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 2 June 2003 have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claims 1-7, 9-23, 25-35, 37, 38, and 40-61 are currently pending.

Examiner's Note

Claims 15-17, 31-33, and 55-57 contain the acronyms FISH and CGH. It is noted that FISH is an acronym for fluorescent *in situ* hybridization and is well-known in the art. In the same manner, the term CGH assay is well-known in the art.

Claim Objections

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 21 and 22, the antecedent basis appears refer to the oligonucleotides selected in claim 18, but to further clarify the insertion of the term "selected" before "oligonucleotide" is suggested.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7, 9-23, 25-35, 37, 38, and 40-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1, 18, 43, 60, and 61 comprise a series of steps or code to identify oligonucleotide sequences suitable for the amplification of a unique sequence within a genomic region of interest comprising executing a first step to identify repeat sequences, executing a second step to compare repeat sequence-free subsequences, and executing a third step to identify oligonucleotide sequences that are suitable for use as primers. These claims are unclear in that there is no clear relationship established between the first step of executing a process to identify repeat sequences and the second and third steps of comparing repeat sequence-free subsequences and identifying sequences that are suitable for use as primers. As the relationship between the different method steps is unclear, the claims are indefinite.

Claims 1, 18, 34, 43, 60, and 61 recite a step of identifying oligonucleotide sequences that are “suitable for use as primers”. However, the claims fail to recite any parameters for identification. Identification implies choosing something such that it meets criteria for the appropriate step. No step of identification is performed such that an output would be meaningful. As there are no clear parameters set forth as to the process of identification, one skilled in the art would not know the metes and bounds of the claims intended by applicant, and the claims are indefinite. Further, as it is unclear if a step of identification is actually performed, the claims are also indefinite.

Claims 3, 20, and 35 are unclear in that the claim attempts to limit the step of identification, however, as stated above, there are no parameters set forth to “identify”.

Claims 4-7, 21-23 and 45-48 are directed to output synthesis of identified sequences. As it is unclear what, if any, sequence is actually identified, one skilled in the art would not know what product is intended to be output/synthesized, therefore the claims are indefinite.

It is unclear in claims 9, 10, 25, and 26 as to what steps of claims 1 and 18 are limited.

Step 1 or 2 or both?

Claims 13, 29, and 53 contains the trademark/trade name Primer3. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a primer design program and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim 35 is not clear in that it does not recite code. Is this a method claim or a code claim?

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242, or (703) 308-4028.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524, or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Marjorie A. Moran
MARJORIE MORAN
PATENT EXAMINER

September 3, 2003

Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1631

Lori A. Clow